Summary previous class (30.10: the 2nd law of thermodynamics) Full-cycle: system goes Reversible vs Irreversible processes in Thermodynamics back to initial state initial state state final thermally conducting walls REVERSIBLE thermally conducting walls System . Isothermal expansion system Piston piston Ing full-cycle, the $T_{q} = T_{h}$ 1) (11 mini surroundings go back to $V_{b} > V_{a}$ their mitical state as well Va V b insulating walls insulating walls I sother ma "Free expansion IRREVERSIBLE 2) empty $T_{a} = T_{b}$ In a full-cycle, the Vb 7Va surroundings do change 1111 Va ۲P

The concept of irreversibility is connected to something fundamental: Why is it not observed in nature that the gas in the free expansion case spontaneously moves back to the left of the container to occupy its initial state?



There is nothing in the 1st law of thermodynamics forbidding this from happening
 There is nothing in the particle mechanics (Newton's laws) of the individual particles forbidding this from happening

This is simply something we know from experience (or doing experiments) that doesn't occur!!

->Another example of an irreversible process: when a <u>hot</u> system is put into contact with cold surroundings, the heat exchange occurs from hot to cold, never viceversq



that coffee in a cup will eventually cool down to room temperature



Spontaneously a cup of hot coffee will not be observed to become even hotter absorbing heat from the air around making the room temperature colder!

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics 2nd law of Thermodynamics For every system in thermal equilibrium, there exists a thermodynamic quantity called entropy 'S'. For a reversible process, the entropy change is given by: $\Delta S = \left(\frac{\Delta Q}{T}\right)_{\text{reversible}} \quad Clausius \quad 1865$ The total entropy change of the system AND the surroundings (considered together) is equal to zero if the process is reversible and positive otherwise. AS (system + surroundings) >> 0 -> 2nd lawo of Thermodynamics

2nd law of Thermodynamics

For every system in thermal equilibrium, there exists a thermodynamic quantity called entropy 'S'. For a reversible process, the entropy change is given by: $\Delta S = \left(\frac{\Delta Q}{T}\right)_{\text{reversible}} \qquad \text{Clausius} \quad 1865$ The total entropy change of the system AND the surroundings (considered together) is equal to zero if the process is reversible and positive otherwise. AS (system + surroundings) >> 0 -> 2nd laws of Thermodynamics Notes: i) AS (system) or AS (surroundings) might decrease or increase ii) NS (full cycle) for a system is equal to zero inic) To compute AS for irreversible processes, we must imagine/invent a reversible process between the initial and final states. We can do this because

entropy is a thermody namic state variable (like pressure, temperature) and thus, it is path - in dependent

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics > Isothermal exponsion (Boyle's low) is reversible initial state Final state





Isothermal expansion $Ta = T_b$ $V_b > V_a$

$$\Delta S(system) = \int_{\alpha}^{b} \left(\frac{dQ}{\tau}\right)_{rev}$$

= definition of entropy change for reversible processes

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics > Isothermal exponsion (Boyle's law) is reversible state final initial state thermally conducting walls thermally conducting walls Isothermal expansion Pístar system . system Piston piston 1 $T_{a} = T_{b}$ 111111 $\bigvee_{\mathbf{b}} > \bigvee_{\mathbf{a}}$ V b V_{a} $\Delta S(system) = \int_{T}^{b} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} \equiv definition of entropy change for reversible processes$

but:
$$d\mathcal{E}_{int} = dQ - dW = O = b \quad dQ = dV$$

 $\int_{1^{s+} law} \quad Since T is constant$

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics > Isother mal exponsion (Boyle's law) is reversible final state initial state thermally conducting walls thermally conducting walls Piston I so ther male Ta = Tb Vb > Va system · · · Isothermal expansion system piston $\bigvee_{\mathbf{b}} > \bigvee_{\mathbf{a}}$ V b V_{a} $\Delta S(system) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} \equiv definition of entropy change for reversible processes$ but: $d\xi_{int} = dQ - dW = 0 = \clubsuit dQ = dW$ $= \Delta S (system) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{a}^{b} dW = \frac{1}{T} \int_{V_{a}}^{V_{b}} dV = N K_{B} \ln \left(\frac{V_{b}}{V_{a}}\right)$ ideal gos low $PV = NK_BT$

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics > Isothermal exponsion (Boyle's law) is reversible state initial state final thermally conducting walls thermally conducting walls Pístav Isothermal expansion system . system Piston piston 1) $T_{eq} = T_{b}$ 1111111 $\bigvee_{\mathbf{b}} > \bigvee_{\mathbf{a}}$ V b V_{a} $\Delta S(system) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} = NK_{B} \ln\left(\frac{V_{b}}{V_{a}}\right) \quad \text{ideal gas}$

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics -> Isothermal exponsion (Boyle's law) is reversible final state initial state thermally conducting walls thermally conducting walls Piston I so thermal expansion Ta = Tb Vb > Va system . piston 1) $\bigvee_{\mathbf{b}} > \bigvee_{\mathbf{a}}$ V_b V_{a} $\Delta S(system) = \int_{0}^{b} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} = NK_{B} \ln\left(\frac{V_{b}}{V_{a}}\right) \quad \text{ideal gas}$ On the other hand: $\Delta S(surrowndings) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)^{surrowndings}$ but we know for this case: $dQ^{\text{surroundings}} = -dQ^{\text{system}}$ and $T^{\text{surroundings}} = T^{\text{system}}$ thermal equilibrium (thermally conducting wralls)

Entropy and the 2nd law of thermodynamics -> Isothermal exponsion (Boyle's law) is reversible final state initial state thermally conducting walls thermally conducting walls Piston I so thermal expansion Ta = Tb Vb > Va piston $\vee_{\mathbf{b}} > \vee_{\mathbf{a}}$ V b V_{a} $\Delta S(system) = \int_{0}^{b} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)_{rev} = \left[\Delta S(system) = NK_{B} \ln\left(\frac{V_{b}}{V_{a}}\right)\right] \text{ isothermal process}$ On the other hand: $\Delta S(surroundings) = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{dQ}{T}\right)^{surroundings}$ but we know for this case: $dQ^{\text{surroundings}} = -dQ^{\text{system}}$ and $T^{\text{surroundings}} = T^{\text{system}}$ = (AS (surroundings) = - AS (system) =) (AS (system + surroundings) = 0) 2nd law of Thermodynamics (reversible)

→ "Free expansion" is irreversible



→ "Free expansion" is irreversible



* Since this is an irreversible process, we cannot use the formula $\Delta S = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta Q \\ T \end{pmatrix}_{rev}$ \rightarrow But, entropy is an state variable, which means that it is path-independent, the change of entropy between an initial and a final state is the same regardless of the process that took the state from one to the other

= $\Delta S(system)^{\text{free expansion}} = \Delta S(system)^{\text{isu-thermal}} = NK_B |_N (\frac{V_b}{V_a})^{\text{isu-thermal}}$

-> "Free expansion" is irreversible



$$-NK_{B}\ln\left(\frac{V_{b}}{V_{a}}\right) > 0 \qquad \frac{2^{nd} \left[aw \ of \ Thermodynamics\right]}{(irreversible)}$$

- Entropy is a difficult concept. From the macroscopic point of view, it was proposed as a consequence of looking for state variables of the system that would be directly connected to the heat exchange (which is not a state variable).
- Since heat exchange and temperature are connected to atomic/molecular motions, entropy has a <u>microscopical origin</u> that is fully understood today in the are of Physics known as statistical mechanics.

- Entropy is a difficult concept. From the macroscopic point of view, it was proposed as a consequence of looking for state variables of the system that would be directly connected to the heat exchange (which is not a state variable).
- Since heat exchange and temperature are connected to atomic/molecular motions, entropy has a <u>microscopical origin</u> that is fully understood today in the are of Physics known as statistical mechanics.
- Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...). This is a connection that was formally established by Boltzmann in the 1870's.

Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...).

What is a microscopical configuration of a Thermodynamic system?



The blue arrows represent the individual velocity vectors of each particle in the system

Ideal gas in a container at a temperature 'T' (N particles)

Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...).

What is a microscopical configuration of a Thermodynamic system?



Ideal gas in a container at a temperature 'T' (N particles)

Since temperature is related to <v2>: $\langle v^2 \rangle = \frac{3 \text{KBT}}{\text{M}}$ (ideal) => simply having the sume speeds for all particles but with different directions, will give the same LV27 and thus the same temperature

Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...).

What is a microscopical configuration of a Thermodynamic system?

the entropy



Same marroscopical state as on the left, but a <u>different</u> microscopica) configuration

- Given a number of N particles, there is a very large number of possibilities (microscopical configurations) in which the system has the same macroscopical state * Boltzmann proved that the larger the number of possible configurations, the larger



Ideal gas in a container at a temperature 'T' (N particles)

- Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...).
- A system with high entropy has a higher degree of disorder than a low entropy system

* Boltzmann proved that the larger the number of passible configurations, the larger the entropy

Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...).

low entropy



(highly ordered system, "quiet" molecular activity) high entropy



* Boltzmann proved that the larger the number of possible configurations, the larger the entropy

> * There are many more possible configurations if water is in the vapour than in the solid phase

```
Steam
(highly chaotic/disordered system,
"loud" molecular activity)
```

- Microscopically, entropy is related to the number of all possible microscopical configurations that the system can have in the same macroscopical state (i.e. the same pressure, volume, temperature,...).
- -> A system with high entropy has a higher degree of disorder than a low entropy system



The 2nd law states that system tend to evolve naturally (if not interfered with) from highly ordered configurations (less probable) to highly disordered configurations (more probable).

The concept of irreversibility is connected to something fundamental: Why is it not observed in nature that the gas in the free expansion case spontaneously moves back to the left of the container to occupy its initial state?



> From the microscopic perspective this question is solved: because the initial state has a lower entropy (less probable) than the final state (more probable)

- Another way to visualize it



link to PHET simulation

- Another way to visualize it





higher entropy

Notice that having all red (blue) particles on the left (right) is physically possible (in fact, there are many ways to arrange this configuration), but is vastly less likely that the system finds itself in such a subset of states, than in the much larger subset of states that have a mixed configuration. In real systems with very large number of atoms/molecules, we never observe such an extremely unlikely occurrence.